

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.

APPLICATION NO. 10/656,198

FILING DATE 09/08/2003

ANTONELLI, TERRY, STOUT & KRAUS, LLP

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR Mutsunori Matsunaga

500.43044X00

7484

20457

7590

12/10/2004

EXAMINER

TAPOLCAI, WILLIAM E

1300 NORTH SEVENTEENTH STREET

SUITE 1800

ARLINGTON, VA 22209-9889

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

3744

DATE MAILED: 12/10/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/656,198	MATSUNAGA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	William E. Tapolcai	3744
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 November 2004.		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 2,3,6 and 7 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 2,3,6 and 7 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 		
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/656,198 Page 2

Art Unit: 3744

1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

- 2. Claims 2 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over lizuka et al, newly cited, in view of Boyd, Jr. lizuka et al discloses the claimed invention, including a compressor 4 driven by an inverter 2 through a switchover means 3. However, lizuka et al does not disclose the electric motor as having a cage conductor. Boyd, Jr. teaches an electric motor having a cage conductor 26. It would be obvious to substitute, for the electric motor of lizuka et al, an electric motor having a cage conductor, as taught in Boyd, Jr., for the purpose of providing an electric motor that is easier to manufacture.
- 3. Claims 6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over lizuka et al in view of Boyd, Jr. as applied to claims 2 and 3 above, and further in view of Tsuboe et al. lizuka et al as modified above by Boyd, Jr. discloses the claimed invention except for the closed vessel receiving the compression mechanism part and the electric motor. Tsuboe et al teaches a compressor having the compression mechanism part and the electric motor together in a closed vessel. See Fig. 6. It would be obvious to provide the compression mechanism part and the electric motor of lizuka et al mounted together in a closed vessel, in view of Tsuboe et al, for the purpose of protecting the compressor and the electric motor from damage.
- 4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 2, 3, 6, and 7 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Iizuka et al clearly discloses the claimed switchover means 3.

Application/Control Number: 10/656,198

Page 3

Art Unit: 3744

5. In response to applicant's argument that Boyd, Jr. is nonanalogous art, it has been held that a prior art reference must either be in the field of applicant's endeavor or, if not, then be reasonably pertinent to the particular problem with which the applicant was concerned, in order to be relied upon as a basis for rejection of the claimed invention. See *In re Oetiker*, 977 F.2d 1443, 24 USPQ2d 1443 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, Boyd, Jr. is directed to a motor for driving a fan, which is a kind of pump, as is a refrigerant compressor. Thus, Boyd, Jr. is considered to be analogous.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William E. Tapolcai whose telephone number is (703) 308-2640. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. - Thurs., 6:30 to 5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Denise L. Esquivel can be reached on (703) 308-2597. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

WELOWUM William E. Tapolcai Application/Control Number: 10/656,198 Page 4

Art Unit: 3744

Primary Examiner Art Unit 3744

wet December 7, 2004